

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F042263 People v. Warren

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

F041852 A.J.F. Clement v. Robert H. Brumfield III

The judgment is reversed. Costs are awarded to Clement. Cornell, J.

We concur: Buckley, Acting P.J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F041652 People v. Hazeltine

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F041413 People v. Wilkinson

The true finding on the strike allegation based upon the Tennessee robbery is reversed. The sentence imposed upon defendant is reversed. The convictions and true findings on all special allegations except the strike allegation are affirmed. The matter is remanded to the trial court. If the prosecutor elects in writing, no later than 30 days after this court's remittitur is filed in the trial court, to retry defendant on the strike allegation based upon the Tennessee robbery, the trial court shall conduct further proceedings on the strike allegation alone and shall resentence defendant when and as appropriate. If the prosecutor does not so elect, the trial court shall forthwith resentence defendant on the convictions and remaining special allegations. The trial court shall impose the laboratory fee and related penalty assessments as required by law when defendant is resentenced. Buckley, Acting P.J.

We concur: Wiseman, J.; Cornell, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F044106 Dee Dee V. v. The Superior Court of Kern County; Kern Co. Dept. of Human Services

Petitioner's petition for extraordinary writ is granted. Let an extraordinary writ issue directing respondent court to vacate its order of October 14, 2003, denying petitioner reunification services and setting the section 366.26 hearing. Respondent court is further directed to conduct a new contested dispositional hearing to reconsider the issue of reunification services.

By the Court.

I dissent: Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F041882 People v. Hawkins

Appellant's petition for rehearing filed herein is denied.

IN THE
Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE
Fifth Appellate District

F043557 People v. Pedraza

Appellant having filed an abandonment and/or request for dismissal of appeal, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the appeal in the above-entitled action is dismissed.